Smallpox gone
Measles within the next 10-15 years


The eradication of measles infection will increase child mortality in Africa
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## The eradication scenario

- The ultimate dream in Public health: eradication
- Measles targetted within next 10-15 years
- Polio targetted within next 5-10 years
- Rubella may be targetted with measles
- To quote Gates: Smallpox gone; Polio $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ down; Measles deaths $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ down => Vaccines best buy in Global Health
- Removing/reducing vaccinations and reduced outbreak control makes eradication efforts cost-effective
- This is clearly beneficial: Saving lives and money in the current paradigm where the only effect of vaccinations is to prevent against specific diseases
- What will happen if vaccines have other effects?


## Measles eradication

- The immediate consequences:

1. WHO recommendations: The age of measles vaccination (MV) will be increased from 9 to 12 months - as in Latin America in 1996 when measles was eliminated.
2. It will become increasingly difficult to maintain funding for MV activities
3. The supplementary immunisation activities (SIA) campaigns will be removed to save money
4. DTP/Penta/PCV is likely to be the last vaccinations to profile the immune system

## Before-after measles vaccination (MV):

Annual mortality rates in African community studies


Bissau: MV at 6 mo introduced 1979-3-fold reduction Measles infection may have caused 10-20\% of deaths! => A beneficial effect unrelated to measles prevention

Reduction in mortality associated with MV and the \% deaths due to measles infection in unvaccinated children


Not explained by prevention of acute (or delayed) measles infection! Could it be selection bias?

## Randomised/blind studies: <br> Measles vaccinated vs unvaccinated children

| Design | Control <br> group | Mortality (deaths/children) |  | Mortality ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Measles <br> Vaccinated | Not measles <br> vaccinated | $(\mathrm{MV}+/ \mathrm{MV}$-) |  |
| Nigeria: Random, not <br> blind - 18 month <br> follow-up | DTP | $\mathbf{0 \% ( 0 / 2 6 )}$ | $12 \%(3 / 27)$ | $0.00(0.0-2.5)$ |
| Sudan: Random, not <br> blind - 5-9 months | Meningo- <br> coccal A+C | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \% ( 1 / 3 4 0 )}$ | $3.5 \%(6 / 170)$ | $0.09(0.0-0.7)$ |
| Bissau: Blind, not <br> random <br> 2-years follow-up | Ineffective <br> measles <br> vaccine | $5 \%(6 / 124)$ | $13 \%(7 / 53)$ | $0.32(0.1-0.9)$ |
| Bissau: Random, not <br> blind - 3 months <br> during war | Inactivated <br> polio (IPV) | $2 \%(4 / 211)$ | $5 \%(11 / 222)$ | $0.30(0.1-0.9)$ |

## High-titre measles vaccine (HTMV), Bissau, 1986-90



Similar results in Senegal, Sudan and Haiti - HTMV withdrawn 1992 No explanation -but repeatable. How can an effective vaccine do this?

## Introduction of DTP: Rural areas of Guinea-Bissau 1984-87

Children aged 2-8 mo Followed 6 mo

Unvaccinated: travelling; sick; days without vaccines


Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis (DTP) effect strongest for girls

Accumulated mortality curves for DTP-vaccinated vs no DTP at 2 months



| DTP/noDTP | MRR crude | MRR adjusted |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Girls | $2.5(0.9-6.5)$ | $5.7(2.1-16)$ |
| Boys | $0.5(0.2-1.2)$ | $1.3(0.5-3.1)$ |
| All |  | $2.6(1.4-5.1)$ |

## HTMV and DTP?



F/M ratio: 0.96 (0.7-1.3)


F/M ratio: 1.93(1.3-2.8)

Not RCT - but this "proves" a causal biological process

## Annual mortality in MV trials depending on DTP status at enrolment

| Study | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | No <br> DTP3 | DTP3 <br> < MV | MR | No <br> DTP3 | DTP3 <br> $<$ MV | MR |  |  |
|  | $7.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $1.97(1.0-3.7)$ | $6.4 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $1.06(0.6-1.9)$ |  |  |
| Sudan | $6.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.16(0.3-17.3)$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.71(0.1-7.9)$ |  |  |
| Congo | $10.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.06(0.6-16.1)$ | $10.6 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $2.06(0.5-9.22)$ |  |  |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 ( 1 . 2 - 3 . 7 )}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 ( 0 . 7 - 1 . 9 ) ~}$ |  |  |

DTP after measles vaccine associated with 2 fold higher mortality

## What can be done to reduce the negative effect of DTP?




Increased female mortality in the age groups of DTP => Change the immunological profile with a live vaccine => RCT: Early Measles Vaccine at $41 / 2 \mathrm{~m}$

## Testing non-specific effects of MV

DTP/OPV


- Recruitment 2003-2007 - Follow-up to 2009
- 6,600 randomised to A) Edmonston-Zagreb (EZ) at 4½+9 mo, or B+C) no vaccine at $41 / 2 \mathrm{mo}$ and EZ MV or Schwarz MV at 9 mo
- DTP3 four weeks before enrolment - to prevent the problem of DTP after MV
- Study designed to test a $\mathbf{2 5} \%$ difference in mortality


## RCT of two doses of Measles Vaccine



Children born after June 2004


Two-dose standard MV at $41 / 2$ and 9 mo was fully protective and reduced mortality with $50 \%$ - with $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ if measles was excluded

## RCTs of two doses of measles vaccine vs standard policy of one dose at 9 month

| Study | Follow-up <br> period | Mortality rate <br> ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sudan, 1989-92 <br> Vaccine 2007 | $5-36 \mathrm{mo}$ | $0.60(0.3-1.4)$ |
| Bissau, 1993-95 <br> IJE 2003 | $6-18 \mathrm{mo}$ | $0.66(0.2-2.3)$ |
| Bissau, 2003-09 <br> BMJ2010 | $41 / 2-36 \mathrm{mo}$ | $0.50(0.3-0.8)$ |
| Combined |  | $0.53(0.36-0.77)$ |
| Observational <br> study campaigns <br> BMJ 1993 | $9-60 \mathrm{mo}$ <br> $4-8 \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{9-11} \mathrm{mo}$ | $0.41(0.2-0.9)$ |

## Measles eradication I: age of MV from 9 to 12 months and DTP becomes the last vaccination




Instead of moving the age foreward as done in Bissau it will be moved backwards => increased mortality, particularly for girls

## Measles eradication II: Campaigns will be removed



Under-5 mortality in Bandim 1978-2007

## Polio eradication

- The immediate consequences:

1. Change from OPV to IPV for fear of OPV related polio cases and revision of OPV strains
2. Removal of OPV

Evidence:

1. OPV may have beneficial effects in natural experiments:

The hospital case fatality was 3 -fold lower when DTP was absent and only OPV given
Mortality lower among those who received OPV in campaign
2. OPV beneficial effects in RCT (ínterim results)
3. IPV associated with $52 \%$ (2-128\%) higher female than male mortality in RCT

## Smallpox and vaccinia eradication

The first example of eradication of a disease (1977) and removal of a vaccine (1980)

Due to the fear of what could happen when measles was eradicated we started in 1998 to examine what happened after the removal vaccinia 1. In Bissau: we made scar surveys and followed for mortality
2. In Copenhagen: We used school
health cards which had vaccination information and could link to Danish health registers

Live vaccines are good - what happens when removed? Vaccinia after smallpox eradication in Guinea-Bissau


Mortality rate ratio for Scar/no scar:
Study I (1998-2002) 0.60 (0.4-0.9); F 0.51(0.3-0.8): M 0.72(0.4-1.2)
Study II (2003-5) 0.22 (0.1-0.6): F 0.19(0.1-0.6): M 0.40(0.0-3.7)
Protection against HIV for scar/no scar: Female: 46\% (0-71\%)

## Live vaccines are good - what happens when removed? <br> Vaccinia after smallpox eradication in Denmark

Smallpox and BCG phased out between 19651976 in Denmark
We used Copenhagen school health cards with information on vaccinations to link with Danish health registers

BCG
BCG reduced lymphomas with $51 \%(7-74 \%)$ Vaccine 2009


Smallpox vaccine
Asthma reduced with 45\% (0-70\%) - J Allergy Clin Imm 2003
Hospitalisation for inf diseases reduced with 16\% (2-28\%) IJE 2011
BCG and smallpox vaccine
Reduced the risk of hospitalization for HIV-infection by 65\% (12-86\%)

## The eradication of measles will lead to DTP

 being the last vaccination in childhood

* Significant difference between DTP and BCG or between DTP and MV


## MV at 4+9mo vs No vac(DTP3)+MV at 9mo



Having DTP as last vaccination The only RCT suggests 3 -fold higher mortality in infancy for DTP => Globally this would mean $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ s of children every year

## The eradication scenario:What can be done?

- Global health's eradication strategy is contradicted by all data
- INDEPTH sites are in best situation to resolve these contradictions: are there or are there not non-specific beneficial effects of vaccines
- Polio - OPV
- Test IPV vs OPV in RCT
- Document effect of OPV campaigns -
- Maybe have a phased implementation of a trial
- Gradual phasing out of OPV
- Measles vaccine
- Test 12 mo vs 9 mo in RCT
- Document effect of MV campaigns (SIA) of children aged 9-60 months
- Maybe phased implementation
- Comparison of effects above and below 9 months
- Gradual change in age of vaccination or phasing out
- Smallpox vaccine
- More surveys


## High-titre measles vaccine: 2-fold higher female mortality

BISSAU 1986-90, EZ-HT


SENEGAL 1987-92, EZ-HT


Lessons from high-titre measles vaccine (HTMV) trials:

- EZ HTMV was fully protective against measles => negative non-specific effect
- Sex-differential effect
- Public health effects: $35 \%$ excess mortality from 4 to 60 months $=>$ at least $1 / 2$ mill annual deaths in Africa
WHO introduced HTMV 1989 and withdrew it in 1992 =>
Interpretation: Too much of a good thing => Major donors: Money for new vaccines!
=> We looked for important NSEs of other vaccines


## MV at 4+9mo vs No vac(DTP3)+MV at 9mo by Vitamin A-at-birth status



Vitamin A may have a fundamental impact on the NSEs => Only those who did not receive VAS-at-birth


- Vaccines stimulate the immune system affecting susceptibility
- The NSE are often more important than specific effects
- Vaccination programmes should take the NSE into consideration: age at vaccination, number of vaccinations, sequence of vaccinations
- Reconsider assumptions -
-Focus: specific diseases or immune deviations
-Effects may differ for boys and girls
- Interventions interact
- INDEPTH in a unique position to pursue these problems
- => EU is (hopefully) going to fund a multicentre trial of early MV


## Impact of preventing measles infection:

## Reduction in mortality with or without measles cases in the survival analysis



Hypothesis: Non-specific beneficial immunestimulation

