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Background

• Global Malaria Mortality Estimation is being looked at in order to address differences reported

• GMP/WHO constituted the Malaria Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) to look at malaria burden estimation

• MPAC endorsed the creation of an Evidence Review Group (ERG) on malaria burden estimation.

• Owusu/Aponte/Peter/Salim members of ERG

• CHERG, IHME, MAP, Tom Smith invited to report at the meeting

• It became evident from the discussions that INDEPT H had empirical data that could contribute to reliable estimates.
The key issues

• Existing approaches
  – WHO
    • Uses VA-based relationship between endemicity and malaria mortality in children, and a model fitted to hospital data to estimate adult rates relative to those in children.
  – IHME
    • CodeM algorithm for estimating proportionate mortality in endemic areas based on a limited VA study

• Deficiencies identified
  – All methods are based on VA
  – IHME estimates far more adult malaria deaths.
Why INDEPTH

• Existence of relevant empirical data in a number of DSS sites
  – VA
  – Malaria incidence
  – Malaria prevalence
  – Transmission (EIR data)

Plausible to consider a multi-site prospective analysis of age-specific mortality in relation to prevalence/transmission in a no. of sites

• Capable of conducting prospective studies recommended by MBE group
  – Malaria incidence in adults
  – Case-control studies
Mortality rates determined by prospective demographic surveillance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Person-years at risk (children&lt;5)</th>
<th>Deaths in children &lt;5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ifakara</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>2001-2004</td>
<td>35569</td>
<td>1030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2001-2004</td>
<td>53158</td>
<td>2882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kourweogo</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>2002-2003</td>
<td>24723</td>
<td>1118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhica</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>2001-2004</td>
<td>20045</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navrongo</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2001-2004</td>
<td>53231</td>
<td>1492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niakhar</td>
<td>Sénégal</td>
<td>2002-2003</td>
<td>5482</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nouna</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>13268</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oubritenga</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>2002-2003</td>
<td>76135</td>
<td>3246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufiji</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>2001-2004</td>
<td>42839</td>
<td>829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Entomological Inoculation Rates (EIR) determined by light trap collections and ELISA tests for sporozoites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of light trap collections</th>
<th>An. gambiae caught</th>
<th>An. gambiae sporozoite rate (%)</th>
<th>An. funestus caught</th>
<th>An. funestus sporozoite rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ifakara</td>
<td>2812</td>
<td>79481</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>18278</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kourweogo</td>
<td>2864</td>
<td>14600</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>8.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhica</td>
<td>6928</td>
<td>3689</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>21163</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navrongo</td>
<td>3302</td>
<td>50865</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>59854</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niakhar</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nouna</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>16156</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>4603</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oubritenga</td>
<td>5756</td>
<td>41166</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>2204</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufiji</td>
<td>5581</td>
<td>17885</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>16205</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison between sites

- No clear pattern emerged

- Unexplained variation between sites may reflect:
  - Different competing causes
  - Differences in health systems
  - Effects of bednets or socio-economic confounders
  - Variations in trapping efficiency of light traps

* using regional (W. and E. Africa) specific estimates of the trapping efficiencies of the light traps

MTIMBA
Variation within sites

- Sampling period within sites was up to 3 years.
- Mosquito densities show strong seasonal and inter-annual variation

Most sites sampled many locations
- Spatial smoothing of mosquito densities and sporozoite rates -> estimates of EIR across the whole map
What can we do as INDEPTH? Proposed activities

1. **Workshop:** Bring together all available individual level and geo-located prevalence data for INDEPTH sites, together with covariate data (in particular data on ITN coverage over time and on treatment rates), and mortality data.

2. Also **contributing any VA records** you have which contain a record of malaria testing (slide or RDT), with positive or negative result, and any cause of death.

3. Creation of virtual cohorts for prospective analysis of the relationship between rates of excess all-cause mortality and history of exposure as measured by prevalence.

4. Creation of virtual cohorts for prospective analysis of the relationship between rates of excess all-cause mortality and history of exposure as measured by EIR (This would represent a logical extension of the MTIMBA project).

5. Presentation of the results as rates of attributable age-specific mortality in relation both to current exposure and history of exposure.
   a. This would require the use of non-trivial statistical approaches, e.g. space-time Bayesian models to allow propagation of uncertainty into the exposure response estimates.
   b. Sensitivity analyses to explore how the estimated relationships depend on uncertainties in the history of exposure.
Next steps

• Approved activity by the board
• Requests gone to all centre leaders, seeking for information for defined periods on
  
  – VA/COD data,
  – malaria incidence
  – Malaria morbidity
  – Malaria prevalence
  – Malaria transmission
  – Geo-locations (malaria and mortality)
  – Contextual data
  – Opportunities for New studies (case-control, virtual cohorts)
  – Etc etc

We seek your support on this when requests come through
Next steps contd.

- A template has been sent by the ED to all member-centres
- The templates were to be completed and sent to me and ED by ISC2013
- Some centres have completed and sent completed templates to us
- Remaining are to follow suit
- The template will be discussed at the MBE working group meeting
- A workshop planned for data collation and analyses in Q1
Next steps contd.

At the workshop:

- Data sets at the individual and household levels wherever possible, to be prepared by each member-centre prior to the workshop
- Data dictionaries will be discussed at the working group meeting
- We need from each participation centre,
  - a focal malaria person at the workshop
  - A seasoned data manager/statistician
- Participation will be based on availability of data
In conclusion

• INDEPTH has had lots of good wills until now
• Our contribution to reliable estimates and making relevant information available is the survival path we have to chart
• This is a golden opportunity to demonstrate we have reliable empirical data
• Some of us are prepared to work towards one of these goals
• Your support is required to be able to bring this to fruition.
• Come in your numbers to the working group meeting and ensure we
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